arXiv:1704.05292v2 [math.FA] 23 Apr 2017

# UNCENTERED MAXIMAL FUNCTION FOR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

CHOKRI ABDELKEFI* AND SAFA CHABCHOUB**

Abstract. In the present paper, we study in the harmonic analysis associated to the Weinstein operator, the boundedness on $L^p$ of the uncentered maximal function. First, we establish estimates for the Weinstein translation of characteristic function of a closed ball with radius $\varepsilon$ centered at 0 on the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times[0,+\infty[$. Second, we prove weak-type $L^1$-estimates for the uncentered maximal function associated with the Weinstein operator and we obtain that is bounded on $L^p$ for $1<p\leq+\infty$.

## 1. Introduction

For a real parameter $\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}$ and $d\geq 2$, the Weinstein operator (also called Laplace-Bessel operator) is the elliptic partial differential operator $\Delta_{d,\alpha}$ defined on the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^d_+=\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times(0,+\infty)$ by

$$\Delta_{d,\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}+\frac{2\alpha+1}{x_d}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}.$$

The operator $\Delta_{d,\alpha}$ can be written as

$$\Delta_{d,\alpha}=\Delta_{d-1}+\mathcal{L}_\alpha,$$

where $\Delta_{d-1}$ is the Laplacian operator on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is the Bessel operator on $(0,+\infty)$ with respect to the variable $x_d$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}+\frac{2\alpha+1}{x_d}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}.$$

For $d>2$, the operator $\Delta_{d,\alpha}$ arises as the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Riemannian space $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ equipped with the metric $ds^2=x_d^{\frac{4\alpha+2}{d-2}}\sum_{i=1}^{d}dx_i^2$. The Weinstein

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B10, 42B25, 44A15, 44A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Weinstein operator, Weinstein transform, Weinstein translation operators, uncentered maximal operators .

This work was completed with the support of the DGRST research project LR11ES11, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia.

operator $\Delta_{d,\alpha}$ has important applications in both pure and applied mathematics, especially in the fluid mechanics (see [19]). Many authors were interested in the study of the Weinstein equation $\Delta_{d,\alpha}u = 0$, one can cite for instance M. Brelot [5] and H. Leutwiler [12]. The harmonic analysis associated with the Weinstein operator was studied in [2, 3]. In particular, the authors have introduced and studied the generalized Fourier transform associated with the Weinstein operator also called the Weinstein transform.

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function was first introduced by Hardy and Littlewood in 1930 for functions defined on the circle (see [10]). Later it was extended to various Lie groups, symmetric spaces, some weighted measure spaces (see [6, 9, 13, 15, 16, 17]) and different hypergroups (see [7, 8, 14]).

In this paper, we denote by $B^+(0,\varepsilon) = B(0,\varepsilon) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_+$, the closed ball on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with radius $\varepsilon$ centered at 0. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, we establish estimates of the Weinstein translation (see next section) of the characteristic function of $B^+(0,\varepsilon)$, $\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d)$, based on the inversion formula, where we put $y = (y', y_d)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with $y' = (y_1, ..., y_{d-1})$. Using these estimates, we prove the weak-type $(1,1)$ of the uncentered maximal function $M_\alpha f$, defined for each integrable function $f$ on $(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ by

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0,\ z\in B^+(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(y)\tau_z(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d)d\nu_\alpha(y) \right|,$$

where $\nu_\alpha$ is a weighted Lebesgue measure associated with the Weinstein operator (see next section) and $B^+(x,\varepsilon) = B(x,\varepsilon)\cap\mathbb{R}^d_+$ the closed ball on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with radius $\varepsilon$ centered at $x$. Finally, we obtain the $L^p$-boundedness of $M_\alpha f$ when $1 < p \leq +\infty$.

Bloom and Xu in [4] have obtained analogous results for the Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups. Later, similar results have been established in [1] for the harmonic analysis involving the Dunkl operator on the real line.

The contents of this paper are as follows.
In section 2, we collect some basic definitions and results about harmonic analysis associated with Weinstein operator.
In section 3, we establish in a first step, estimates of $\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. In the second step, we prove that the uncentered maximal function $M_\alpha f$ is of weak-type $(1,1)$ and we obtain that is strong type $(p,p)$ for $1 < p \leq +\infty$.

Along this paper, we denote $\langle .,. \rangle$ the usual Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well as its extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$, we write for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$. In the sequel $c$ represents a suitable positive constant which is not necessarily the same in each occurrence. Furthermore, we denote by

- $D_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of $C^\infty$-functions which are of compact support, even with respect to the last variable.

- $S_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of $C^\infty$-functions which are rapidly decreasing together with their derivatives, even with respect to the last variable.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notations and results about harmonic analysis associated with the Weinstein operator.
For every $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ the space of measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |f(x)|^p d\nu_\alpha(x) \right)^{1/p} < +\infty, \quad \text{if } p < +\infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_\infty = ess \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+} |f(x)| < +\infty,$$

where $\nu_\alpha$ is a measure defined by

$$d\nu_\alpha(x) = \frac{x_d^{2\alpha+1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}\, dx = \frac{x_d^{2\alpha+1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}\, dx_1 ... dx_d.$$

For a radial function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, the function $F$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that $f(x) = F(\|x\|)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ is integrable with respect to the measure $r^{2\alpha+d}dr$. More precisely, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(x) d\nu_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{d+1}{2})} \int_0^{+\infty} F(r) r^{2\alpha+d} dr. \tag{2.1}$$

For all $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}(x) & = -\lambda_j^2 u(x),\ j = 1, ..., d-1, \\ \mathcal{L}_\alpha u(x) & = -\lambda_d^2 u(x) \\ u(0) & = 1,\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_d}(0) = 0,\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(0) = -i\lambda_j,\ j = 1, ..., d-1, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution on $\mathbb{R}^d$, denoted by $\Psi_\lambda$ called the Weinstein kernel and given by

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) = e^{-i\langle x', \lambda' \rangle} j_\alpha(x_d \lambda_d). \tag{2.2}$$

Here $x = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x' = (x_1, ..., x_{d-1}), \lambda' = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{d-1})$ and $j_\alpha$ is the normalized Bessel function of the first kind and order $\alpha$, defined by

$$j_\alpha(\lambda x) = \begin{cases} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) \frac{J_\alpha(\lambda x)}{(\lambda x)^\alpha} & \text{if } \lambda x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $J_\alpha$ is the Bessel function of first kind and order $\alpha$ (see [18]).
We have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $\lambda \to j_\alpha(\lambda x)$ is even on $\mathbb{R}$.

The Weinstein kernel $\Psi_\lambda(x)$ has a unique extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$. It has the following properties :

i) $\forall\ \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}^d,\ \Psi_\lambda(z) = \Psi_z(\lambda)$.
ii) $\forall\ \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}^d,\ \Psi_\lambda(-z) = \Psi_{-\lambda}(z)$.
iii) $\forall\ \lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\Psi_\lambda(x)| \leq 1. \tag{2.4}$$

There exists an analogue of the classical Fourier transform with respect to the Weinstein kernel called the Weinstein transform and denoted by $\mathcal{F}_W$. The Weinstein transform enjoys properties similar to those of the classical Fourier transform and is defined for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_W(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(y)\, \Psi_\lambda(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{2.5}$$

We list some known properties of this transform :

i) For all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{1,\alpha}. \tag{2.6}$$

ii) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$. If $\mathcal{F}_W(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, then we have the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \Psi_\lambda(-x', x_d)\mathcal{F}_W(f)(\lambda) d\nu_\alpha(\lambda), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{2.7}$$

iii) The Weinstein transform $\mathcal{F}_W$ on $S_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ exends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$.

iv) Plancherel formula : For all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |f(x)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\mathcal{F}_W(f)(x)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(x)$$

v) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ be a radial function, then the function $F$ such that $f(x) = F(\|x\|)$ is integrable on $(0, +\infty)$ with respect to the measure $r^{2\alpha+d}dr$ and its Weinstein transform is given for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, by

$$\mathcal{F}_W(f)(y) = \mathcal{F}_B^{\alpha+\frac{d-1}{2}}(F)(\|y\|), \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_B^\gamma$ is the Fourier-Bessel transform of order $\gamma$, $\gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$, given by

$$\mathcal{F}_B^\gamma(F)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} F(r) j_\gamma(\lambda r) r^{2\gamma+1} dr, \quad \lambda \in (0, +\infty).$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $f$ a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is even with respect to the last variable, the Weinstein translation operator $\tau_x$ is given by

$$\tau_x(f)(y) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x' + y', \rho) W_\alpha(x_d, y_d, \rho) \rho^{2\alpha+1} d\rho, \tag{2.9}$$

where the kernel $W_\alpha$ is given by
$W_\alpha(x_d, y_d, \rho)$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)((x_d+y_d)^2-\rho^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho^2-(x_d-y_d)^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{2\alpha-1}\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})(x_d y_d \rho)^{2\alpha}}\chi_{]|x_d-y_d|,x_d+y_d[}(\rho). \quad (2.10)$$

For all $x_d, y_d > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} W_\alpha(x_d, y_d, \rho)\rho^{2\alpha+1}d\rho = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

The Weinstein translation operator satisfies the following properties.

i) For all continuous function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is even with respect to the last variable and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, we have

$$\tau_x(f)(y) = \tau_y(f)(x), \quad \tau_0 f = f.$$

ii) For all $f \in S_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, the function $x \to \tau_x f(y)$ belongs to $S_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

iii) For all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, $1 \le p \le +\infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, we have

$$\|\tau_x f\|_{p,\alpha} \le \|f\|_{p,\alpha}. \quad (2.12)$$

For a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, $p = 1$ or $2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, the Weinstein translation $\tau_x$ is also defined by the following relation:

$$\mathcal{F}_W(\tau_x f)(\lambda) = \Psi_\lambda(-x', x_d)\mathcal{F}_W(f)(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \quad (2.13)$$

By using the Weinstein translation, we define the convolution product $f *_\alpha g$ of functions $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ as follows:

$$(f *_\alpha g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \tau_x(f)(-y', y_d)g(y)d\nu_\alpha(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

This convolution is commutative and associative and satisfies the following results.

i) Let $1 \le p, q, r \le +\infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$ (the Young condition). If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, then $f *_\alpha g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ and we have

$$\|f *_\alpha g\|_{r,\alpha} \le \|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha}. \quad (2.14)$$

ii) For all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, $p = 1$ or $2$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_W(f *_\alpha g) = \mathcal{F}_W(f)\mathcal{F}_W(g). \quad (2.15)$$

## 3. Weak-type (1.1) of the uncentered maximal function

In this section, we establish estimates of $\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and we prove the weak-type $(1,1)$ of the uncentered maximal function $M_\alpha f$ and we obtain that is bounded on $L^p$ for $1 < p \leq +\infty$.

The following remark plays a key role.

**Remark 3.1.** *For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) = \int_0^{+\infty} \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(x' - y', \rho) W_\alpha(x_d, y_d, \rho) \rho^{2\alpha+1} d\rho. \quad (3.1)$$

*Put $u = (x' - y', \rho)$, we have $\|u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(x_i - y_i)^2 + \rho^2$. Then by (2.9) and (2.10), we have*

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(x_i - y_i)^2 + (x_d - y_d)^2} < \|u\| < \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(x_i - y_i)^2 + (x_d + y_d)^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

*From (3.1), we have $u \in B^+(0,\varepsilon)$, which gives according to (3.2),*

$$\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) = 0 \text{ when } \|x - y\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(x_i - y_i)^2 + (x_d - y_d)^2} \geq \varepsilon.$$

*Then we can assume that $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ satisfies $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$. Note that $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ implies $|x_d - y_d| < \varepsilon$.*

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$, then we have*

$$i) \quad |\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)| \leq c\, \varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$ii) \quad |\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)| \leq c\, \varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}} \|\lambda\|^{-(\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+1)}. \quad (3.4)$$

*Here $c$ is a constant which depends only on $\alpha$ and $d$.*

*Proof.* By (2.8), we can write for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{d+1}{2})} \int_0^{+\infty} \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(r) j_{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}(\|\lambda\| r) r^{2\alpha+d} dr \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{d+3}{2})}\, j_{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\|\lambda\|\varepsilon). \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|j_{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\|\lambda\|\varepsilon)| \leq 1$, we get

$$|\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)| \leq c\, \varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}.$$

Now, from (2.3), (3.5) and the fact that the function $z \mapsto \sqrt{z}J_\alpha(z)$ is bounded on $(0,+\infty)$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)| &= \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{d+3}{2})}|j_{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\|\lambda\|\varepsilon)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{d+3}{2})}\,\frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}}}{\|\lambda\|^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+1}}\sqrt{\|\lambda\|\varepsilon}\,|J_{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}(\|\lambda\|\varepsilon)| \\ &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}}\|\lambda\|^{-(\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma is proved . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *For $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}-1$, there exists $c>0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ with $x_d > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$0 \leq \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) \leq c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1}, \quad a.e\, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{3.6}$$

*Here $c$ is a constant which depends only on $\alpha$ and $d$.*

*Proof.* Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Using (2.9) and (2.11) we have

$$0 \leq \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) \leq 1, \quad a.e\, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{3.7}$$

If $0 < x_d \leq 2\varepsilon$, we obtain that

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1},$$

hence, by (3.7) we deduce (3.6).
Therefore we can assume in the following argument that $x_d > 2\varepsilon$, and in view of Remark 3.1 that $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ satisfies $|x_d - y_d| < \varepsilon$.
Take $\psi \in D_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$, supp $\psi \subset B^+(0,1)$ and $\|\psi\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$. Put

$$\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{2\alpha+d+1}}\ \psi\big(\frac{x}{t}\big),\ \ t>0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+,$$

the dilation of $\psi$. We have $\psi_t \in D_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which gives $\mathcal{F}_W(\psi_t) \in S_*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we can assert that both of $\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)} *_\alpha \psi_t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_W(\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)} *_\alpha \psi_t))$ are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$. Using (2.7), (2.13) and (2.15), we obtain for $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$
$\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)} *_\alpha \psi_t)(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \Psi_\lambda(-x', x_d)\Psi_\lambda(-y', y_d)\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)\mathcal{F}_W(\psi_t)(\lambda)d\nu_\alpha(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} e^{i[\langle x',\lambda'\rangle+\langle y',\lambda'\rangle]}j_\alpha(\lambda_d x_d)j_\alpha(\lambda_d y_d)\mathcal{F}_W(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(\lambda)\mathcal{F}_W(\psi_t)(\lambda)d\nu_\alpha(\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Clearly we have $\|\psi_t\|_{1,\alpha}=1$. According to (2.6), we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_W(\psi_t)(\lambda)|\leq\|\mathcal{F}_W(\psi_t)\|_\infty\leq\|\psi_t\|_{1,\alpha}=1,\quad a.e\,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{3.9}$$

Let us decompose (3.8) as a sum of three terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}*_\alpha\psi_t)(y) &= \int_{\|\lambda\|\leq x_d^{-1}}+\int_{x_d^{-1}\leq\|\lambda\|\leq\varepsilon^{-1}}+\int_{\varepsilon^{-1}\leq\|\lambda\|}\\ &= I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (2.1), (2.4), (3.3) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}\int_{\|\lambda\|\leq x_d^{-1}}d\nu_\alpha(\lambda)\\ &\leq c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+d+1}\\ &\leq c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1},\quad \text{for } x_d>2\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

To estimate $I_2$, we observe that for $x_d>2\varepsilon$ and $|x_d-y_d|<\varepsilon$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}x_d<x_d-\varepsilon<y_d<x_d+\varepsilon<\frac{3}{2}x_d,$$

so we deduce

$$0<y_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}<c\,x_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}. \tag{3.12}$$

By (2.3) and the fact that the function $z\mapsto\sqrt{z}J_\alpha(z)$ is bounded on $(0,+\infty)$, we can write

$$|j_\alpha(z)|\leq c\,z^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}, \tag{3.13}$$

then from (2.1), (3.3), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2| &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}x_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}y_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_{x_d^{-1}\leq\|\lambda\|\leq\varepsilon^{-1}}\lambda_d^{-(2\alpha+1)}d\nu_\alpha(\lambda)\\ &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}x_d^{-2\alpha-1}\big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^d}-\frac{1}{x_d^d}\big)\\ &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{2\alpha+1}x_d^{-2\alpha-1}\\ &\leq c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1},\quad \text{for } x_d>2\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For $I_3$, we use (2.1), (3.4), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.13) and we find that

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3| &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}}x_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}y_d^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_{\varepsilon^{-1}\leq\|\lambda\|}\|\lambda\|^{-(\alpha+\frac{d}{2}+1)}\lambda_d^{-(2\alpha+1)}d\nu_\alpha(\lambda)\\ &\leq c\,\varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}}x_d^{-2\alpha-1}\int_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^{+\infty}r^{-\alpha+\frac{d}{2}-2}dr.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha > \frac{d}{2} - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq c\, \varepsilon^{\alpha+\frac{d}{2}} x_d^{-(2\alpha+1)} \varepsilon^{\alpha-\frac{d}{2}+1} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1}, \quad \text{for } x_d > 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Thus we get by (3.10), (3.11), (3.14) and (3.15)

$$0 \leq \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)} *_\alpha \psi_t)(-y', y_d) \leq c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1}, \quad \text{for } x_d > 2\varepsilon.$$

Now using (2.9) and Fatou's Lemma, we can assert that

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)} *_\alpha \psi_t)(-y', y_d) = \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d), \quad a.e\, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$0 \leq \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) \leq c \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_d}\right)^{2\alpha+1},$$

for $x_d > 2\varepsilon$, $a.e\, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ *with* $|x_d - y_d| < \varepsilon$, therefore (3.6) is established. □

**Notation :** For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we put

$$C^+(x,\varepsilon) = B_{d-1}(x', \varepsilon) \times ]\max\{0, x_d - \varepsilon\}, x_d + \varepsilon[,$$

with $x = (x', x_d)$ and $B_{d-1}(x', \varepsilon)$ is the closed ball on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with radius $\varepsilon$ centred at $x'$.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For $\alpha > \frac{d}{2} - 1$, there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$0 \leq \tau_x(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y', y_d) \leq c\, \frac{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))}{\nu_\alpha(C^+(x,\varepsilon))}, \quad a.e\, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{3.16}$$

*Here $c$ is a constant which depends only on $\alpha$ and $d$.*

*Proof.* Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Using (2.1), we have

$$\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon)) = \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}}{2^{\alpha+\frac{d-1}{2}}(2\alpha+d+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{d+1}{2})}\,. \tag{3.17}$$

On the one hand, we get for $x_d \leq \varepsilon$,

$$C^+(x,\varepsilon) = B_{d-1}(x', \varepsilon) \times [0, x_d + \varepsilon[,$$

then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\alpha(C^+(x,\varepsilon)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{B_{d-1}(x',\varepsilon)} dy_1 ... dy_{d-1} \int_0^{x_d+\varepsilon} y_d^{2\alpha+1} dy_d \\ &\leq c\, \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} \int_{B_{d-1}(x',\varepsilon)} dy_1 ... dy_{d-1} \leq c\, \varepsilon^{2\alpha+d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.17), we deduce

$$\nu_\alpha(C^+(x,\varepsilon)) \le c\,\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon)),$$

then by (3.7), we obtain (3.16) for $x_d \le \varepsilon$.
On the other hand, we have for $x_d > \varepsilon$,

$$C^+(x,\varepsilon) = B_{d-1}(x',\varepsilon)\times[x_d-\varepsilon, x_d+\varepsilon[,$$

then , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\alpha(C^+(x,\varepsilon)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}2^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\int_{B_{d-1}(x',\varepsilon)} dy_1...dy_{d-1}\int_{x_d-\varepsilon}^{x_d+\varepsilon} y_d^{2\alpha+1}dy_d\\ &\le c\,\varepsilon^{d-1}\,(x_d+\varepsilon)^{2\alpha+1}\times\varepsilon\\ &\le c\,\varepsilon^d\,x_d^{2\alpha+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.17), we get

$$\nu_\alpha(C^+(x,\varepsilon)) \le c\,\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))\Big(\frac{x_d}{\varepsilon}\Big)^{2\alpha+1},$$

then by (3,6), we obtain (3.16) for $x_d > \varepsilon$, which proves the result. □

According to ([13], Lemma 1.6), we have the following Vitali covering lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let $E$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ (with respect to $\nu_\alpha$) which is covered by the union of a family $\{B_j^+\}$ where $B_j^+ = B^+(x_j,r_j)$. Then from this family we can select a subfamily, $B_1^+, B_2^+, ...$ (which may be finite) such that $B_i^+\cap B_j^+ = \emptyset$ for $i\neq j$ and*

$$\sum_h \nu_\alpha(B_h^+) \ge c\,\nu_\alpha(E).$$

We recall that for $x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+$,

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0,\ z\in B^+(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(y)\tau_z(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y',y_d)d\nu_\alpha(y)\right|,$$

so, we can write also

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0,\ z\in B^+(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))}\Big|f\ *_\alpha\ \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(z)\Big|.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The uncentered maximal function $M_\alpha f$ is of weak type (1,1).*

*Proof.* Let $\varepsilon>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+$, $z\in B^+(z,\varepsilon)$ and $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+,\nu_\alpha)$. Using Remark 3.1, we have

$$\Big|f\ *_\alpha\ \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(z)\Big| \le \int_{B^+(z,\varepsilon)} |f(y)|\tau_z(\chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)})(-y',y_d)d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

By (3.16), we obtain

$$\Big|f\ *_\alpha \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(z)\Big| \quad \leq \quad c\ \frac{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))}{\nu_\alpha(C^+(z,\varepsilon))}\int_{B^+(z,\varepsilon)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

Since, $B^+(z,\varepsilon)\subset C^+(z,\varepsilon)$, then

$$\Big|f\ *_\alpha \chi_{B^+(0,\varepsilon)}(z)\Big| \leq c\frac{\nu_\alpha(B^+(0,\varepsilon))}{\nu_\alpha(B^+(z,\varepsilon))}\int_{B^+(z,\varepsilon)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

Hence we deduce that

$$M_\alpha f(x)\leq c\ \tilde{M}_\alpha f(x), \tag{3.18}$$

where $\tilde{M}_\alpha f$ is defined by

$$\tilde{M}_\alpha f(x)=\sup_{\varepsilon>0,\ z\in B^+(x,\varepsilon)}\frac{1}{\nu_\alpha\big(B^+(z,\varepsilon)\big)}\int_{B^+(z,\varepsilon)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

For $\lambda>0$, put

$$\tilde{E}_\lambda=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+;\ \tilde{M}_\alpha f(x)>\lambda\}.$$

Then, for each $x\in\tilde{E}_\lambda$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and $z\in B^+(x,\varepsilon)$, such that

$$\int_{B^+(z,\varepsilon)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y)>\lambda\ \nu_\alpha\big(B^+(z,\varepsilon)\big). \tag{3.19}$$

Furthermore, note that $x\in B^+(z,\varepsilon)$, then when $x$ runs through the set $\tilde{E}_\lambda$, the union of the corresponding $B^+(z,\varepsilon)$ covers $\tilde{E}_\lambda$. Thus using Lemma 3.4, we can select a disjoint subfamily $B^+(z_1,\varepsilon_1), B^+(z_2,\varepsilon_2),...$ (which may be finite) such that

$$\sum_h \nu_\alpha\big(B^+(z_h,\varepsilon_h)\big)\geq c\ \nu_\alpha(\tilde{E}_\lambda). \tag{3.20}$$

We have

$$\Big(\int_{y\in\ \cup B^+(z_h,\varepsilon_h)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y)\Big)=\sum_h\Big(\int_{y\in B^+(z_h,\varepsilon_h)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y)\Big),$$

applying (3.19) and (3.20) to each of the mutually disjoint subfamily, we get

$$\Big(\int_{y\in\ \cup B^+(z_h,\varepsilon_h)}|f(y)|d\nu_\alpha(y)\Big)>\lambda\sum_h\nu_\alpha\big(B^+(z_h,\varepsilon_h)\big)\geq\lambda\ c\ \nu_\alpha(\tilde{E}_\lambda).$$

But since the first member of this inequality is majorized by $\|f\|_{1,\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\nu_\alpha(\tilde{E}_\lambda)\leq c\ \frac{\|f\|_{1,\alpha}}{\lambda},$$

which gives that $\tilde{M}_\alpha f$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ and hence from (3.18) the same is true for $M_\alpha f$. □

As consequence of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *If $1 < p \leq +\infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha)$, then we have*

$$M_\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \nu_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \|M_\alpha f\|_{p,\alpha} \leq c \, \|f\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Using Theorem 3.1, ([11], Corollary 21.72) and proceeding in the same manner as in the proof on Euclidean spaces (see for example Theorem 1 in [13], section 1.3), we obtain the results.

□

## References


[1] C. Abdelkefi and M. Sifi, *Dunkl translation and uncentered maximal operator on the real line*. Intern. J. of Math. and Math. Sciences (2007), Article ID 87808, 9 pages.

[2] Z. Ben Nahia and N. Ben Salem, *Spherical harmonics and applications associated with the Weinstein operator*. Potential theory ICPT 94 (Kouty, 1994), 233-241, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[3] Z. Ben Nahia and N. Ben Salem, *On a mean value property associated with the Weinstein operator*. Potential theory ICPT 94 (Kouty, 1994), 243-253, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[4] W. R. Bloom and Z. Xu, *The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function for Chebli-Trimèche hypergroups*. Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. math. Soc. (1995), Vol. 183.

[5] M. Brelot, *Equation de Weinstein et potentiels de Marcel Riesz*. Lect. Notes Math. 681 (1978), 18-38.

[6] J. L. Clerc and E. M. Stein, *$L^p$-multipliers for noncompact symmetric spaces*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 71 (1974), 3911-3912.

[7] W. C. Connett and A. L. Schwartz, *The Littlewood-Paley theory for Jacobi expansions*. Trans. Amer. math. Soc. 251 (1979), 219-234.

[8] W. C. Connett and A. L. Schwartz, *A Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality for Jacobi type hypergroups*. Proc. Amer. math Soc. 107 (1989), 137-143.

[9] G. Gaudry, S. Giulini, A. Hulanicki and A. M. Mantero, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on some solvable Lie groups*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. (Ser. A) 45 (1988), 78-82.

[10] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications*. Acta Math. 54 (1930), 81-116.

[11] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis, Springer-Verlag*. New-York. 1965.

[12] H. Leutwiler, *Best constants in the Harnack inequality for the Weinstein equation*. Aequationes math. 34 (1987), no. 2-3, 304-315.

[13] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton University Press, 1970.

[14] K. Stempak, *La théorie de Littlewood-Paley pour la transformation de Fourier-Bessel*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I, Math. 303 (1986) 15-18.

[15] J. O. Strömberg, *Weak type $L^1$-estimates for maximal functions on non-compact symmetric spaces*. Ann. of Math. 114 (1981), 115-126.

[16] S. Thangavelu and Y. Xu, *Convolution operator and maximal function for Dunkl transform*. J. Anal. Math. 97 (2005), 25-56.

[17] A. Torchinsky, *Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis*. Academic Press, 1986.

[18] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,UK, 1966.

[19] A. Weinstein, *Singular partial differential equations and their applications*. In: Fluid dynamics and applied mathematics. Gordon and Breach: New york, 1962. p. 29-49.

* Department of Mathematics
Preparatory Institute of Engineer Studies of Tunis
1089 Monfleury Tunis, University of Tunis
Tunisia
*E-mail address*: chokri.abdelkefi@yahoo.fr

** Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences of Tunis
1060 Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar
Tunisia
*E-mail address*: safachabchoub@yahoo.com